

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/043,827 01/11/2002		Sally Kay Swart	163.1386USU1	7890	
	23552 7.	590 08/31/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903			BECKER, DREW E		
		POLIS, MN 55402-0903	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1761		

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Owner	10/043,827	SWART ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Drew E Becker	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju	ly 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,8-11,14-17 and 48 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,8-11,14-17 and 48 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.		* * .			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				
Between and Transformer's Office					

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

1. The request filed on July 27, 2004 for an RCE based on parent Application No. 10/043,827 is acceptable and an RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 8-11, and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 1 recites "wherein said irradiation step **comprises** at least one of:". It is not clear whether other irradiation methods and ranges can be used, or not.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,827

Art Unit: 1761

6. Claims 1-3, and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hilgren et al [Pat. No. 6,514,556].

Page 3

Hilgren et al teach a method of treating poultry by contacting it with peroxyacetic acid (column 6, line 7), peroxyoctanoic acid (column 6, line 9), densified versions of each (column 9, lines 36-60), it being irradiated with less than 0.3 kGy, namely 0 kGy, an inherent reduction of microbial content of at least 0.3 log₁₀, and packaging (column 19, line 29).

7. Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Inglis et al [Pat. No. 6,265,006].

Inglis et al teach a method of treating food by contacting it with an antimicrobial agent such as hydrogen peroxide (column 3, line 36), the food being herbs and spices (column 2, line 34), and irradiation with less than 1.5 kGy, namely 0 kGy, and an inherent reduction of microbial content of at least 0.3 log₁₀.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hilgren et al as applied above, in view of Welt et al [Pat. No. 5,400,382].

Art Unit: 1761

Hilgren et al teach the above mentioned concepts. Hilgren et al do not recite gamma radiation from cobalt 60 or cesium 137. Welt et al teach a method of treating food by irradiating it with less 0.3 kGy of gamma radiation from cobalt 60 or cesium 137 (column 1, lines 30-46). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the gamma radiation of Welt et al into the invention of Hilgren et al since both are directed to methods of treating foods, since the purpose of Hilgren et al was to reduce microbial contamination of food (abstract), and since the gamma radiation of Welt et al would have provided a further means of sanitizing the poultry by eliminating insect pests (column 1, line 45).

10. Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hilgren et al, in view of Welt et al, as applied above, in view of JP 11339701A. Hilgren et al and Welt et al teach the above mentioned concepts. Hilgren et al and Welt et al do not teach the use of tungsten or single-sided irradiation. JP 11339701A teaches a method of irradiating food by the use of a tungsten filament (abstract) and single-sided irradiation (Figure 6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the irradiating method of JP 11339701A into the invention of Hilgren et al, in view of Welt et al, since all are directed to methods of treating food, since Welt et al already included irradiating food (column 1, lines 30-46), and since the single-sided, tungsten beam of JP 11339701A (abstract) would have effectively provided the irradiation of Welt et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,827

Art Unit: 1761

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Tanaka et al [Pat. No. 4,983,411], Robinson Jr [Pat. No. 5,482,726], McFarland [Pat. No. 5,603,972], Liberman et al [Pat. No. 6,248,381] teach methods of irradiating food.

Page 5

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 8-11, 14-17, and 48 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-1396. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. 8am-5pm and every other Fri. 8am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,827

Art Unit: 1761

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Drew E Becker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761

8-26-04